

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,312	07/28/2003	Chia-Ching Chiu	HOPI119513	6598	
26389 7	590 08/11/2004		EXAM	INER	
CHRISTENS	CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			DEVORE, PETER T	
1420 FIFTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2800			ARTONII	FAFER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, W	A 98101-2347		3751		

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		#			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/629,312	CHIU, CHIA-CHỊNG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peter T deVore	3751 V			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		atters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	awn from consideration.	.*			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	· ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/629,312

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9, 10, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everard in view of Tickle and Martin.

The Everard reference discloses a portable washing device comprising a bottom wall, inflatable side walls comprising stacked tubular members, the upper member being C-shaped, and a drain outlet (See Figures 1 and 2), but does not disclose an opening, a air valve, a drain valve, or reinforcement between the tubular members. However, the Tickle reference discloses a similar device including a drain valve 45 to prevent drainage during usage of the device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a drain valve on the Everard device in view of Tickle to prevent drainage during usage of the device. Also, the Martin reference discloses a similar device including an opening 40 and an air valve 42 for convenient inflation of the device, and reinforcement between the tubular members 24 to stabilize the device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ an opening and an air valve on the Everard device in view of Martin for convenient inflation of the device, and

Application/Control Number: 10/629,312

Art Unit: 3751

to employ reinforcement between the tubular members of the Everard device in view of Martin to stabilize the device.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everard in view of Tickle and Martin as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Kirsch.

The Everard reference discloses a device as discussed supra, but does not disclose the use of a heat seal for reinforcement. However, attention is directed to the Kirsch reference, which discloses a similar device including a heat seal between two layers for improved structural integrity (see col. 2, line 12-15). It would have been obvious to use a heat seal between two layers of the modified Everard device in view of the teachings of Kirsch for improved structural integrity.

Claims 11-18 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everard in view of Tickle and Martin as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hajek.

The Everard reference discloses a device as discussed supra, but does not disclose the use of an inflatable head support structure. However, attention is directed to the Hajck reference, which discloses a similar device including an inflatable head support structure 36 for improved comfort of the user. It would have been obvious to employ an inflatable head support structure on the modified Everard device in view of the teachings of Hajek for improved comfort of the user.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everard in view of Tickle, Martin, and Hajek as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Kirsch.

The Everard reference discloses a device as discussed supra, but does not disclose the use of a heat seal for reinforcement. However, attention is directed to the Kirsch reference, which discloses a similar device including a heat seal between two layers for improved structural integrity (see col. 2, line 12-15). It would have been obvious to use a heat seal between two layers of the modified Everard device in view of the teachings of Kirsch for improved structural integrity.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter T deVore whose telephone number is (703) 306-5481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/629,312

Art Unit: 3751

Pd Pd

Germancer,

Page 5

Gene Mancene Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700